# Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## GEORGE ELLIS WALLACE,

Plaintiff,

v.

C. E. DUCART, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>17-cv-05488-SI</u>

### ORDER OF SERVICE AND PARTIAL **DISMISSAL**

On January 10, 2018, the court issued an order of a dismissal with leave to amend that required plaintiff to file an amended complaint by February 12, 2018. Docket No. 8. The court determined that the complaint stated two claims for relief against several defendants, discussed deficiencies in other claims, and required plaintiff to amend to cure those deficiencies. *Id.* at 4-9. Plaintiff did not file an amended complaint, and the deadline by which to do so has long passed. In light of plaintiff's failure to file an amended complaint, it is now time to dismiss the deficient claims and move forward with the cognizable claims.

In order to move this case toward resolution, the court now determines:

- 1. Liberally construed, the complaint states a cognizable Eighth Amendment claim defendants Drager, Davis and McMahon, id. at 4, and a cognizable equal protection claim defendant Buchanan. All other claims and defendants are dismissed.
- 2. The clerk shall issue a summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without prepayment of fees, the summons, and a copy of the complaint, and a copy of this order upon the following four individuals, all of whom apparently work at Pelican Bay State Prison's A4 building:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- correctional officer Davis
- correctional sergeant T. Drager
- correctional officer McMahan
- correctional lieutenant T. Buchanan
- 3. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the following briefing schedule for dispositive motions is set:
- No later than June 29, 2018, defendants must file and serve a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion. If defendants are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, defendants must so inform the court prior to the date the motion is due. If defendants file a motion for summary judgment, defendants must provide to plaintiff a new Rand notice regarding summary judgment procedures at the time they file such a motion. See Woods v. Carey, 684 F.3d 934, 939 (9th Cir. 2012).
- b. Plaintiff's opposition to the summary judgment or other dispositive motion must be filed with the court and served upon defendants no later than July 27, 2018. Plaintiff must bear in mind the notice and warning regarding summary judgment provided later in this order as he prepares his opposition to any motion for summary judgment.
- If defendants wish to file a reply brief, the reply brief must be filed c. and served no later than August 10, 2018.
- 4. Plaintiff is provided the following notices and warnings about the procedures for motions for summary judgment:

The defendants may make a motion for summary judgment by which they seek to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case. . . . Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact -- that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendants' declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial. Rand v.

Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998).

If a defendant files a motion for summary judgment for failure to exhaust administrative remedies, he is seeking to have the case dismissed. As with other defense summary judgment motions, if a motion for summary judgment for failure to exhaust administrative remedies is granted, the plaintiff's case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

- 5. All communications by plaintiff with the court must be served on a defendant's counsel by mailing a true copy of the document to defendant's counsel. The court may disregard any document which a party files but fails to send a copy of to his opponent. Until a defendant's counsel has been designated, plaintiff may mail a true copy of the document directly to defendant, but once a defendant is represented by counsel, all documents must be mailed to counsel rather than directly to that defendant.
- 6. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or Local Rule 16 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.
- 7. Plaintiff is responsible for prosecuting this case. Plaintiff must promptly keep the court informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). Plaintiff must file a notice of change of address in every pending case every time he is moved to a new facility.
- 8. Plaintiff is cautioned that he must include the case name and case number for this case on any document he submits to this court for consideration in this case.

### IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 20, 2018

SUSAN ILLSTON

United States District Judge

Juran Delston